

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/801,143

Applicant(s)

TOWNSEND, LYLE

Examiner

Darren W. Ark

Art Unit

3643

**All Participants:**(1) Darren W. Ark.(2) Dean A. Craine.**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2004**Time:** 5:45pm EST**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3-16, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DARREN W. ARK**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to applicant that minor changes to the claims need to be made to overcome claim objections and 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph problems. In particular the subject matter of canceled claim 2 should be incorporated into claim 3 in order to give antecedent basis for the "hinge" claimed in claim 3. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes. Please see Examiner's Amendment for details.